

A global survey of semen straw bar-coding practices and capabilities at bovine semen collection centers

Mel DeJarnette, Jens Baltissen et al. (2019)

ICAR Artificial Insemination & Related Technologies Working Group

### Introduction

- Accurate data entry essential to integrity of all subsequent data use.
- Tracking fertility to the freeze batch level may enhance understanding of seminal components associated with male fertility.
- Freeze batch seldom recorded in most countries and accuracy/consistent of service sire ID could be improved



### Introduction

- Bar-coding of semen straws could enhance accuracy and consistency of service sire and freeze batch recording.
- Technology has been available more than a decade, but with limited application and adoption.
- Why?



### Objective

- Conduct a global survey of bovine semen collection centers to assess:
  - What percentage of organizations possess straw printer capable of using bar codes.
  - What percentage are presently printing bar codes on semen straws?
  - What format and information are included in bar codes.
  - What are the primary hurdles to greater implementation to barcoding at both collection center and farm level?



### Materials & Methods

- On-line survey was created.
- National Association of Animal Breeders (NAAB) and forwarded to all Al organizations with ICAR recognized stud codes.



### **Results & Discussion**

Continent**	No. organizations	Annual production
Europe	10	37,350,000
North America	15	111,178,000
Asia	3	5,000,000
Australia/New Zealand	3	8,850,000
Total	31	162,378,000



<sup>\*\*</sup> Global organizations with production facilities on multiple continents were tallied by primary country/continent of production

# Does your organization presently have the capability to print bar codes and is bar coding currently implemented?

Continent	Equipment / capability for bar coding	Bar coding currently implemented
Europe (n = 10)	7	5
North America (n = 15)	9	1
Asia (n = 3)	2	2
Australia/NZ (n = $3$ )	2	0
Total (n = 31)	20	8



## What information is presently included in bar codes?

Country	Semen collection center	Sire by registration number	Sire by NAAB code	Date format	Batch number
China- A	Yes	Yes	Yes	DDMMYY	
China-B			Yes		
France		Yes		DDMMYY	
Germany (n = 2)	Yes	Yes		YYMMDD	
Netherlands	Yes		Yes	MMDDYY	
Switzerland	Yes				Yes 1)
United States			Yes	MMDDYY	

 $<sup>^{1)}</sup>$  = internal coding for sire x date x processing type



## What are the primary hurdles to implementation of bar coding semen straws?

Continent	Lack of need, demand at farm level	Equipment expense	Programming
Europe (n = 10)	4	1	1
North America (n = 15)	10	7	8
Asia (n = 3)	1	2	1
Australia/NZ (n = $3$ )	1	1	0
Total (n = 31)	16	11	10



## Does your organization offer sex-sorted semen and how? If yes, how is it distinguished from conventional semen?

Continent	Offer sex-sorted semen?	ID by Marketing code	Alpha-numeric field	Other
Europe (n = 10)	8	0	5	3
North America (n = 15)	7	5	2	0
Asia (n = 3)	3	1	2	0
Australia/NZ (n = 3)	3	2	1	0
Total (n = 31)	21	8	10	3



### **Summary and Conclusion**

- Present capacity for straw bar coding exceeds implementation.
- Primary obstacle appears to be lack of need or demand at the farm level.
  - Lack of tools and programming to capture and store freeze batch data within popular on-farms record keeping system.
- Producer demand at farm level needed to drive incentives for greater implementation of bar codes in global bovine AI industry.





Thank you for your attention!